



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/749,959	12/31/2003	Ahmed H. Mohamed	14917.0226US01/MS305420.0	8581
27488	7590	01/16/2009		
MERCHANT & GOULD (MICROSOFT)			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 2903			NGUYEN, THUONG	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903				
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2455		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		01/16/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/749,959

Applicant(s)

MOHAMED ET AL.

Examiner

Thuong (Tina) T. Nguyen

Art Unit

2455

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Thuong (Tina) T. Nguyen.(3) Alton Hornsby III(47,299).

(2) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 January 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Pandya (Patent No. 2004/0010612 A1) & Henninger (Patent No. 5,499,371).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: *Discussed claim 1 and the differences between Pandya and Henninger references over the application. Also discussed about the proposed amendments. Further search and/or consideration will be made after receiving the Remark/Argument.*

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/saleh najjar/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2455